

2022 Herbicide Guide for Iowa Corn and Soybean Production

Designing Resilient Weed Management Programs

Herbicide-resistant weeds continue to spread rapidly across Iowa, threatening the sustainability of current herbicide-based weed management programs. Weed populations with resistance to multiple herbicide groups pose the biggest threat. More than 90% of waterhemp populations collected in Iowa fields in 2019 were confirmed multiple-resistant to three herbicide groups (HGs 2, 5, and 9). Nearly 30% of populations had stacked resistance to five herbicide groups (HGs, 2, 5, 9, 14, and 27) at the recommended field-use rates. Giant ragweed and horseweed (marehail) are the other two major glyphosate-resistant weeds in Iowa corn and soybean. Weeds will continue to evolve resistance to herbicides as long as growers are heavily dependent on chemical weed control.

For most growers, diversifying herbicide programs will be the simplest and most important strategy for managing herbicide resistance. Resilient programs rely on multiple herbicide groups that are effective against important weeds present in the field. Knowledge of the individual herbicide included in the program is essential in achieving success. The herbicide use rate is critical in determining its effectiveness; ensure the individual components of a program are used at rates that will control target weeds. Other factors that determine the effectiveness of an herbicide program include: 1) the nature of the weed infestation in the field, including species present, density of weeds, existing herbicide-resistant weed

populations, 2) ability to spray the field in a timely fashion (i.e. availability of sprayer, number of acres managed, etc.), and 3) opportunity for implementing non-chemical weed control tactics.

It is important to recognize relying solely on herbicides most likely will fail at winning the war against herbicide resistance. This article provides a brief overview of the types of herbicide strategies used in corn and soybean production, including the description of the strengths and weaknesses. The article concludes with a description of alternative strategies that provide opportunities to enhance weed control and protect the value of herbicides.

Total Preemergence Program

Advantages:

- Offers the opportunity for a one-pass program. Not appropriate for most fields

Disadvantages:

- Inability of most herbicides to sustain effective control until the crop canopy develops.
- Reliance on timely rainfall to activate herbicides before weeds begin germination.

Only appropriate for fields with low-to-moderate densities of annual weeds.

Not appropriate for fields with high weed densities or significant populations of large-seeded broadleaves (e.g. giant ragweed, cocklebur, morning glories) or perennial weeds. The prolonged emergence pattern of waterhemp decreases the likelihood of success in fields with moderate to high densities

Contents

• Volunteer Corn in Storm-damaged Fields.....	1
• Dicamba Update.....	2
• Designing Resilient Weed Management Programs.....	3
• Corn Herbicide Effectiveness Ratings.....	7
• Soybean Herbicide Effectiveness Ratings.....	8
• Grazing and Haying Restrictions.....	9
• Herbicide Package Mixes.....	10
• Herbicide Sites of Action.....	18
• Herbicide Site of Action and Typical Injury Symptoms.....	21

of this weed. Early planting results in the need for extended longevity of control due to slow crop emergence, reducing the likelihood of success. Greater likelihood of success in corn than in soybean due to characteristics of available herbicides and more rapid canopy development.

Approaches: This type of program typically relies on a combination of herbicides to provide broad-spectrum weed control. The herbicides must be persistent and be used at full rates in order to extend control late into the season. Split applications of the preemergence program often are used in conservation tillage systems.

This publication is prepared by Prashant Jha professor in agronomy and extension weed specialist at Iowa State University, and postdoctoral research associate Ramawatar Yadav at Iowa State University.

Typically, 50-60% of the product is applied several weeks ahead of planting to control weeds that emerge prior to planting and reduce the need for timely rainfall, and the remainder is applied at or shortly after planting. This strategy can extend the activity of the herbicide later into the season, rather than if it all is applied early.

Total Postemergence Program

Advantages:

- Eliminates need to spray fields during planting season, therefore, reducing labor load.

Disadvantages:

- Risk of significant yield loss due to early-season competition if first application is delayed.
- Many total post programs place high selection pressure on weeds for herbicide resistance.

Only appropriate for fields with low weed densities to reduce the risk of early-season competition. Best suited for growers with own sprayer so they have more control of when fields are sprayed.

Approaches: Two approaches typically are used for total post programs. The introduction of Roundup Ready crops led to the popularity of sequential applications made two to three weeks apart. The other strategy is to include a residual herbicide with an early postemergence application. Halex GT is an example of the second strategy; it is a premix of glyphosate, S-metolachlor and mesotrione. Glyphosate and mesotrione would control weeds that are present at the time of the postemergence application, while the S-metolachlor and mesotrione components would control weeds that emerge after the application. A risk with this approach is the application typically is made during periods of peak weed emergence. A lack of rain

to activate the preemergence herbicide within 5-7 days of application can result in weed escapes early in the season.

Preemergence followed by Postemergence Program

Advantages:

- Provides most consistent control across a broad range of environmental conditions.
- Preemergence component protects yield from early-season competition.
- Easily incorporates multiple herbicide groups, therefore, reducing selection pressure.

Disadvantages:

- Requires multiple applications and the associated costs.

Appropriate for any weed infestation, takes advantage of the benefits of both preemergence and postemergence herbicides.

Approaches: There is considerable flexibility in these programs based on the nature of the weed infestation. In fields with low to moderate grass infestations, the preemergence component can target the grasses while the focus of the postemergence component would be the broadleaf weeds. Programs providing redundant control of target weeds with the PRE and POST components will provide the most consistent weed control and best management of herbicide resistance.

Addition of a group 15 herbicide with the postemergence application can extend residual control until after the crop canopy closes. This probably is the best approach for maintaining full season control of waterhemp in soybean. The postemergence application should be applied approximately 21 to 28 days after planting, while the preemergence herbicide is still active.

Burndown Programs for No-Till

In no-till systems, it is essential to control emerged weeds prior to crop emergence. Delaying the burndown application until after planting results in significant risk if weather or other factors result in weeds being present when the crop emerges. The particular herbicide program used is dictated largely by the presence of winter annual and perennial weeds. In fields with heavy winter annual pressure or history of problems in managing these weeds in the spring, a fall application of an appropriate herbicide may be advantageous.

Glyphosate is the standard for burndown herbicides due to its broad-spectrum activity on annual and perennial weeds. Long-term control of most perennial weeds is reduced with preplant applications due to insufficient weed growth to result in translocation to underground structures. Activity of glyphosate on dandelion and some winter annuals can be very slow during cool temperatures in the spring, and fall applications may provide better control of these weeds in fields with heavy infestations. The addition of 2,4-D ester to glyphosate will improve control of marestail (horseweed), giant ragweed and many mustard species.

2,4-D ester most often is used in combination with other herbicides to improve activity on emerged broadleaf weeds, specifically marestail, giant ragweed, and mustards. While 2,4-D has limited soil activity due to rapid microbial degradation, applications made prior to planting corn or soybean can cause significant injury. Ester formulations have less stringent restrictions on preplant applications than amines, due to the shorter half-life and lower soil availability of ester products. In soybean, applications of up to 0.5 lb. ae/A must be applied at least seven days prior to planting.

Restrictions for preplant applications for corn vary among labels, but an example would be 7 days prior to planting for up to 0.5 lb. ae/A and 14 days for 0.5-1.0 lb. ae/A. There is no planting restriction when using 2,4-D formulations registered for use on Enlist crops (Enlist One, Enlist Duo).

Liberty and **paraquat** are burndown options for fields where preplant weed infestations are limited to small annual weeds. Both products are contact herbicides and excellent coverage is required for good control. Best control is achieved when applied during warm, sunny conditions. The addition of 2,4-D to both of these products can improve control of broadleaf weeds, whereas addition of a group 5 herbicide (triazines) enhances activity of paraquat.

Dicamba may be used in combination with glyphosate or other herbicides to improve activity on certain broadleaf weeds. On non-dicamba resistant soybean, a minimum of 14 days between an application of 0.25 lb./A and planting is required, in addition to at least one inch of rainfall. No delay is required with preplant applications to Xtend soybean when using products registered for use on dicamba-resistant soybean.

Residual herbicides with foliar activity. Many products used for preemergence control have foliar activity (e.g. herbicide groups 2, 5, 14, and 27). In fields with low to moderate infestations of small annual weeds at planting, these herbicides may have sufficient activity at planting to control the emerged weeds. The potential for omitting specific burndown herbicides (i.e. glyphosate) is dependent upon making early-spring applications before annuals reach sizes that are tolerant of these herbicides. Saflufenacil (Kixor products) has good activity on small marestail. It may be substituted for 2,4-D in burndown programs where it is preferred not to delay planting

following the burndown application.

Non-herbicidal strategies

While herbicides will remain the primary tactic used to manage weeds for most growers, it is essential to evaluate opportunities to include non-chemical tactics into the production system. Herbicide-resistant weeds continue to spread rapidly across Iowa. Therefore, sustaining the current production system is dependent on incorporating alternative management strategies that reduce the reliance on herbicides. The suitability of these tactics varies widely among operations, but inclusion of any alternative strategy can greatly improve performance of herbicides and delay the onset of herbicide resistance.

Mechanical control

Both preplant and postplant tillage significantly affect weed communities. A primary effect of seedbed preparation tillage is its influence on weed seed distribution within the seedbank. Due to waterhemp's small seed, tillage can bury a significant amount of the seed at a depth where the seed will not germinate. This can reduce the population that emerges after planting and simplify weed control during that season. This practice may be especially useful in years following control failures where high numbers of weed seed were produced and deposited on the soil surface. However, burying seed within the profile puts these into "long-term storage" since seeds are much longer-lived when buried deep in the profile. If this strategy is used repeatedly, its benefit is diminished since buried seeds will be brought back to the surface where these can germinate. A rotational tillage may be a better strategy in those scenarios.

Rotary hoeing and inter-row cultivation remain viable practices in today's production systems. Rotary hoeing is beneficial when preemergence herbicides are not activated by rainfall. Rotary hoeing needs to be conducted

prior to weed emergence (white-root stage) for greatest effectiveness. Due to waterhemp's prolonged emergence pattern, the rotary hoe will not make significant contributions to full-season waterhemp control. However, using a rotary hoe to eliminate the first flush of early-emerging weeds can allow the postemergence application to be delayed, which improves waterhemp management. This strategy also will reduce selection pressure on postemergence herbicides from a resistance management standpoint.

Cultivation remains a highly effective tool to control weeds in crops planted in wide-row spacings. Because of increases in farm size, it is unrealistic to expect cultivation to be used as it was in the past. However, many growers could use cultivation on problem fields or areas within fields where weeds have escaped the chemical control program. As with other field operations, auto-steer has eliminated much of the drudgery of this practice, allows faster operating speeds, and reduces the potential for crop injury.

Narrow-row spacing

Crop competitiveness is an important factor in determining the outcomes of crop-weed interference and can be improved by reducing the row spacing. Narrow-row soybean planting first was practiced in the northern part of the soybean belt to allow soybean to capture more sunlight and get ahead of weeds. Planting soybean in reduced row spacing (15 inches) helps the crop to achieve canopy closure quicker. Faster canopy closure ensures more light interception through the growing season, which often ends in an improved crop yield and a greater shading of weeds.

Despite these benefits, planting soybean in 30-inch rows is a predominant practice in Iowa. Based on the 2021 Iowa Agricultural Statistics Services Survey, about 52% of Iowa growers planted soybean in 30-inch rows

compared to only 42% growers planting in 12-inch wide rows in the last five years.

Weed management always has been considered while planning for optimum row spacing in soybean. Before advances in herbicide development, soybean had been planted in 30-inch rows to allow for a mid- to late-season inter-row cultivation. However, this practice has been used even after advances in herbicide technology and reduction in tillage. This is due primarily to the increased cost of herbicide-tolerant crop seeds. Lack of willingness to invest in an additional planter for narrow-row soybean also hindered the adoption of this practice. Furthermore, lower disease infestation in a wide vs. narrow-row soybean made wide-row soybean even more economical for growers. For example, the thick and dense canopy in narrow-row soybean can create cool and moist conditions under the canopy and lead to a white mold infestation. However, white mold in narrow-row soybean can be managed effectively by using fungicides, resistant varieties, and crop rotation. Due to widespread occurrence of herbicide-resistant (HR) weed populations and lack of effective herbicides, there is an urgent need to utilize agronomic practices that favor the crops over the weeds.

Row width, plant population, and environmental conditions are the key factors in the development of soybean canopy. Narrow rows make soybean more competitive against the weeds by reducing the quantity and quality of light required for weed seed germination and growth. Based on Iowa State University research conducted in Iowa, soybean growing in 15-inch rows achieves canopy closure approximately two weeks earlier than soybean growing in 30-inch rows.

Quicker and dense canopy closure of soybean enhances the weed control

efficacy of other control tactics by reducing weed density and biomass (size of weeds). For example, previous research found an increase in herbicide efficacy when controlling waterhemp in narrow- vs. wide-row soybean. Similarly, in a recent study conducted at Iowa State, the cereal rye cover crop provided a greater suppression of waterhemp when soybean was planted in 15-inch vs. 30-inch wide rows. This complimentary strategy is important in the current era of herbicide resistance. Reduced weed density and size of weeds will reduce the burden on postemergence herbicides; hence, a reduced selection pressure for the development of herbicide resistance.

In conclusion, planting soybean in narrow-rows (15-inches wide) would be an important consideration while planning for weed management with herbicide shortages.

Cover crops

Cover crops have been beneficial in preventing soil erosion by providing surface residue, trapping residual nitrate that otherwise will be leached out in drainage, improving soil organic matter and other physical properties, and suppressing weeds. Cereal rye is the most widely used cover crop in the Midwestern US due to its winter hardiness, ease to grow, and high biomass accumulation. A cereal rye cover crop suppresses weeds primarily by physically impeding emergence and growth, and to a certain extent, by inhibiting weed seed germination by releasing allelochemicals. The amount of biomass accumulation by a cereal rye cover crop has a direct influence on its ability to suppress weed emergence and growth, as well as on the duration of weed suppression. The level of suppression is influenced by weed species being targeted, timing of cover crop termination, and timing of weed emergence.

The goal of a higher biomass

accumulation by cereal rye in soybean can be achieved by planting it early in the fall and/or delaying the termination timing in the spring. Although a delay in the cereal rye termination significantly increases the level of weed suppression, the timing of termination should be synchronized with the emergence period of the target weed species in the field.

Based on recent studies conducted at Iowa State, a cereal rye cover crop is effective in suppressing marestalk (horseweed) due to the timing of its emergence. A fall-planted cereal rye cover crop suppresses density and biomass (size) of both fall- and spring-emerging marestalk. The cereal rye cover crop terminated (with glyphosate) one week before soybean planting reduced marestalk density by 90% and biomass by only 55% compared with a no cover crop treatment. However, delayed termination (7 to 10 days after soybean planting) reduced both the density and biomass of marestalk plants by 90%. Similarly, the late-terminated cover crop reduced waterhemp density by 70%, whereas the early-termination timing reduced waterhemp density by only 55%, compared with the no cover crop plots. Since waterhemp emerges relatively late in the season, terminating the cereal rye cover crop at or after soybean planting (planting green) is desirable to prolong the length of weed suppression.

Significant delays in termination timing of the cereal rye cover crop may affect soybean growth and grain yields at harvest. However, in Iowa State University, studies conducted in central Iowa 2019-2021, soybean yields were not affected when cereal rye was terminated at 7 to 10 days after soybean planting (planting green), when compared to early termination timing or no cover crop treatment. Another concern with delayed termination of cover crop is that the

resulting high biomass can physically tie-up the soil residual herbicides, thereby reducing their availability in the soil where preemergence herbicides are active. However, in our studies, we did not observe a reduced efficacy of soil residual herbicides (S-metolachlor and fomesafen, Prefix) for waterhemp control between early and late termination timings of the cereal rye cover crop.

In conclusion, a cereal rye cover crop should be adopted as an effective cultural strategy to manage herbicide-resistant weed populations and enhance the efficacy of other weed control tactics in soybean. Additionally, Iowa Department of Agriculture and Land Stewardship and USDA offer premium benefits (\$5-per-acre, from each agency) to Iowa growers when they plant cover crops on their farm fields.

Harvest weed seed control

While late-season weed escapes/survivors are common in corn and soybean production fields in Iowa. Although late-emerged/escaped seedlings may not affect crop yields, these still can produce significant amounts of seeds, thereby causing future weed infestations. During a conventional harvest operation, weed seeds also are harvested with the crop, separated from the grain along with the crop chaff, and spread back to the field. Therefore, methods that limit the spread of weed seeds are crucial, especially for herbicide-resistant weeds like waterhemp that are prolific seed producers. More recently, several harvest weed seed control methods (HWSC) have been developed to collect and manage/destroy weed seeds at the time of crop harvest. The most common HWSC methods are weed seed destructors and chaff liners (concentrating weed seeds in a narrow band at harvest). The Iowa State University Weed Science program is testing both methods to

combat herbicide-resistant waterhemp in Iowa with a high success rate.

The weed seed destructor is an additional unit that can be integrated with a commercial combine to separate soybean chaff plus weed seeds from the straw and divert those to high-impact cage mills at the rear of the combine. In Iowa State research conducted in 2020 and 2021, a Redekop weed seed destructor equipped with a John Deere S680 combine destroyed about 90% of waterhemp seeds remaining on the mother plant at the time of soybean harvest.

Chaff lining involves making a simple chute to divert the weed seed-bearing chaff fraction (from the sieves) into a narrow windrow (12 to 18 inches wide), which is left to rot or mulch while the straw is chopped and spread. Thus, chaff lining concentrates weed seeds to <5% of the field, rather than spreading these across the entire field as happens with conventional harvest. The concentration of the chaff material places weed seeds in an environment suitable for predation, microbial decay, or unsuitable for germination and emergence, if left undisturbed. Potentially, the chaff can act as a mulch to suppress emergence of small-seeded weeds such as waterhemp. Emerged weed seedlings in the chaff lines can be targeted with additional weed control tactics in the subsequent growing season.

In Iowa State studies, a chaff-liner equipped with a John Deere S660 combine concentrated greater than 95% of the waterhemp seeds that entered the combine into the chaff line. In the following season in corn, waterhemp plants growing inside the chaff line accumulated 60% less biomass than plants growing outside the chaff line. This tactic was complimentary in enhancing the efficacy of herbicide programs for

waterhemp control and can potentially reduce herbicide use and cost over time.

In conclusion, these novel HWSC technologies hold great promise in the Midwestern corn-soybean production systems; and should be integrated with current weed control practices to target weed seeds at harvest, and reduce selection pressure exerted by herbicides, thereby managing herbicide-resistant weed seed banks.

Summary

Weeds are the universal pest; every field has an economic level of weed infestation every year. The current system of large farms and narrow profit margins limits flexibility in the types of tactics and investments that can be made for weed management. This also makes it difficult to factor in long-term weed management considerations such as herbicide resistance. However, the increasing rate of herbicide resistance in Iowa is a serious threat to future productivity. Taking the time to critically examine herbicide programs to insure multiple sites of action are controlling target weeds is the first step in developing resilient weed management systems. However, herbicides alone cannot prevent the rapid adaptation of weeds to these crop protection tools. The production system of individual farms needs to be evaluated to determine alternative weed control tactics that are appropriate for the operation.

Acknowledgement: A portion of this article was adapted from material in the 2014 Ohio and Indiana Weed Control Guide, Ohio State University Extension and Purdue Extension.

Planning for Herbicide Shortages

Glyphosate and glufosinate are the two most widely used herbicides in the U.S. Shortage of these two herbicides in 2022 has put a strain on grower ability to achieve weed management goals in corn and soybean. Therefore, this growing season may be different from any normal year for many growers.

Below are the alternatives to cope with glyphosate and glufosinate shortages and achieve weed management goals in corn and soybean.

Burndown Herbicide Programs

One of the best alternative herbicide programs to control both grasses and broadleaves before corn and soybean planting is paraquat + 2,4-D + metribuzin. Paraquat performs better when a triazine herbicide is added. Adding 2,4-D to paraquat and metribuzin would serve as a broad-spectrum burndown and residual herbicide program. When grass weeds are not a concern, saflufenacil + 2,4-D + metribuzin can be used to achieve good foliar and residual control of broadleaf weeds.

Cover Crop Termination

Glyphosate is the most effective herbicide to terminate a cereal rye cover crop. For those who have a fall planted cereal rye cover crop and a limited supply of glyphosate, the Iowa State recommendation is to use that glyphosate for cover crop termination. The next alternative is paraquat (Gramoxone), with a high carrier

volume to optimize spray coverage. Tank-mixing a triazine herbicide such as metribuzin improves the efficacy of paraquat in killing cereal rye prior to planting soybean. In addition, metribuzin will provide residual control of late-emerging weeds like waterhemp.

Roller crimping is a non-chemical option to terminate a cereal rye cover crop. Roller crimping is most effective when used at the early milk to soft dough stage of cereal rye. It takes longer for cereal rye to die when terminated with a roller-crimper vs. herbicides such as glyphosate. However, roller crimping can be beneficial as it keeps the ground covered for a longer period of time; hence, an extended duration of weed suppression.

If none of the options mentioned above are available, mowing can be an alternative method for cover crop termination. A sickle bar, rotary, and flail mower can be used to mow the cover crop prior to or at soybean planting. To avoid regrowth, cereal rye should be mowed at or after the flowering growth stage. Additionally, HG 1 herbicides (Clethodim, Quizalofop) can be used to prevent further regrowth of cereal rye in soybean.

Soybean Herbicide Programs

A majority of the preemergence herbicide programs in soybean are

based on HG 5 (metribuzin) and HG 14 (flumioxazin, sulfentrazone). Products such as Fierce EZ, Moccasin MTZ, Tripzin ZC, or Authority Elite contains herbicide active ingredients from two sites of action. Fierce MTZ, Zidua Pro, or Panther Pro contains herbicides from three sites of action. All of these products provide effective foliar and residual activity when applied preemergence, especially for late-emerging waterhemp.

Since dicamba (Xtendimax, Engenia, Tavium) or 2,4-D choline (Enlist One, Enlist Duo) will be carrying more burden for postemergence herbicide programs this year, selecting an appropriate herbicide-tolerant soybean trait will be critical. Apart from dicamba and 2,4-D, products containing herbicides from HG 14 (lactofen, fomesafen etc.) can be used to achieve broadleaf weed control. Similarly, HG 1 herbicides (Select/Select Max, Assure II etc.) can be used to control grass weeds as an alternative to glyphosate. However, activity of HG 1 herbicides on grass weeds (including volunteer corn) can be antagonized when tank-mixed with dicamba (Xtend/Xtendflex soybean), 2,4-D (Enlist E3 soybean), or acetochlor.

In conclusion, a strong preemergence herbicide program with multiple HGs (5, 14, 15) and a timely layered postemergence residual (HG 15) will serve as the foundation for effective waterhemp control in soybean.

Corn Herbicide Effectiveness Ratings¹

Weed response to selected herbicides E = excellent G = good F = fair P = poor	Grasses							Broadleaves								Perennials			
	Herbicide Group Number	Crop tolerance	Crabgrass	Fall panicum	Foxtail	Woolly cupgrass	Shattercane ²	Waterhemp ^{2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8}	Black nightshade	Cocklebur ²	Common ragweed	Giant ragweed ^{2, 4, 8}	Lambsquarter	Smartweed	Sunflower ²	Velvetleaf	Canada thistle	Quackgrass	Yellow nutsedge
Preplant/Preemergence																			
Atrazine	5	5	F	P	F	P	P	E	G	G	E	F-G	E	E	G	G	P	F	F
Balance Flexx (isoxaflutole)	27	E	G	F-G	G	G-E	F-G	G-E	F	P-F	F-G	P	G	G-E	F	G-E	P	P	G
Breakfree, Harness, Surpass NXT, etc. (acetochlor)	15	E	E	E	E	F-G	F-G	G	G	P	P	P	P-F	P-F	P	P	P	P	G
Callisto (mesotrione)	27	E	P	P	P	P	P	G-E	G-E	F-G	F-G	F	E	F-G	G-E	E	P	P	P
Cinch, Dual II Magnum, Outlook, Zidua etc.	15	E	E	E	E	F	F	F-G	G	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	G
Hornet WDG (flumetsulam, clopyralid)	2, 4	G	P	P	P	P	P	G-E	F-G	G	G	G	G	G-E	G-E	G	P	P	P
Linex, Lorox (linuron)	7	G	P-F	P-F	P	P	P	G-E	F	F	G	P-F	G-E	G-E	F	F	P	P	P
Pendimax, Prowl, etc. (pendimethalin)	3	F-G	G-E	G-E	G-E	G	G	G	P	P	P	P	G-E	F	P	P-F	P	P	P
Python (flumetsulam)	2	G	P	P	P	P	P	E	F-G	F	G	F	F-G	G-E	F-G	G-E	P	P	P
Sharpen (saflufenacil)	14	G	P	P	P	P	P	G-E	G-E	G	G	G	G-E	G	G-E	G-E	P	P	G
Postemergence																			
Accent Q, Steadfast Q (nicosulfuron, rimsulfuron)	2	G-E	P	G	G-E	G-E	E	G	P	F	P	P	P	G	P	F	F	G	F
Aim (carfentrazone)	14	G	P	P	P	P	P	F-G	G	P	P	F	G	P	P	E	P	P	P
Armezon, Impact (topramezone)	27	G-E	F-G	F	G	F	F	G-E	G-E	G-E	G	G	G	G	E	E	P	P	P
Atrazine	5	G	F	P	F	P	P	E	E	E	E	G	E	E	E	E	F*	F	G
Basagran (bentazon)	6	E	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	E	E	F	P	E	G	G-E	G*	P	G*
Basis, Basis Blend (rimsulfuron, thifensulfuron)	2	F	F	F-G	G	F	G	G	P	F	F	P	G-E	G-E	G-E	G	P	G	P
Banvel, Clarity, DiFlexx, Xtendimax with Vapor Grip Technology Engenia, FeXapan, etc (dicamba)	4	F-G	P	P	P	P	P	G-E	G	E	G-E	E	G	E	G	F-G	G*	P	P
Beacon (primisulfuron)	2	G	P	F-G	P-F	P	E	E	G	G	G	E	P	G	G	F-G	F-G*	G	F
Buctril (bromoxynil)	6	G	P	P	P	P	P	G	G-E	E	E	G	G-E	G-E	E	G	P	P	P
Callisto (mesotrione)	27	G-E	P	P	P	P	P	E	E	G-E	F	G	G	E	G-E	E	P	P	P
Enlist One (2, 4-D) ³	4	E	P	P	P	P	P	G-E	G	E	E	E	E	F-G	G-E	G-E	F-G	P	P
Hornet WDG (flumetsulam, clopyralid)	9	E	E	E	G-E	E	E	G-E	F-G	E	E	G-E	G	E	E	G	G	G-E	F
Liberty (glufosinate) ³	2, 4	G	P	P	P	P	P	G-E	F	E	E	G-E	F	G-E	E	G-E	G	P	P
Laudis (tembotrione)	10	E	E	G	G-E	E	E	G	E	E	E	G	G	E	E	E	F-G	G	P
Permit, Halomax, etc. (halosulfuron)	27	G-E	F-G	F	G-E	F-G	F-G	E	G-E	G-E	G	G	G	G	E	E	P	P	P
Resolve (rimsulfuron)	2	G	P	P	P	P	P	E	P	G-E	G-E	G	P	G-E	E	E	P	P	G
Resource (flumiclorac)	2	F	F	F-G	G	F	G	G	P	F	F	P	G-E	G	P	F-G	F	G	F
Roundup (glyphosate) ³	14	G-E	P	P	P	P	P	G	P	F	F-G	P	F	P	P	E	P	P	P
Shieldex (toprylate)	27	G-E	F-G	P	G	P	G	E	E	F-G	G	G	G	F-G	E	E	P	P	P
Status (dicamba, diflufen-zopyr)	4, 19	F-G	P	F	F	P	F	G-E	G	E	G-E	G	G	E	G	G	G*	P	P

¹Ratings are based on full label rates. **Premix products containing ingredients marketed as single a.i. products may not be listed in this table.**

²ALS-resistant biotypes of these weeds have been identified in Iowa. These biotypes may not be controlled by all ALS herbicides.

³Use only on designated resistant hybrids.

⁴Glyphosate-resistant biotypes of these weeds have been identified in Iowa. These biotypes may not be controlled by glyphosate.

⁵PPD-resistant biotypes of waterhemp have been identified in Iowa. These biotypes may not be controlled by PPD inhibitor herbicides.

⁶HPPD-resistant biotypes of waterhemp have been identified in Iowa. These biotypes may not be controlled by HPPD herbicides.

⁷PSII-resistant biotypes of waterhemp have been identified in Iowa. These biotypes may not be controlled by PSII herbicides.

⁸Biotypes of this weed with resistance to multiple sites of herbicide action have been identified in Iowa.

*Degree of perennial weed control is often a result of repeated application.

This chart should be used only as a guide. Ratings of herbicides may be higher or lower than indicated depending on soil characteristics, managerial factors, environmental variables, and rates applied. The evaluations for herbicides applied to the soil reflect appropriate mechanical weed control practices.

Soybean Herbicide Effectiveness Ratings¹

Weed response to selected herbicides E = excellent G = good F = fair P = poor	Grasses							Broadleaves								Perennials			
	Herbicide Group Number	Crop tolerance	Crabgrass	Fall panicum	Foxtail	Woolly cupgrass	Shattercane ²	Waterhemp ^{2,4,5,6,7,8}	Black nightshade	Cocklebur ²	Common ragweed	Giant ragweed ^{2,4,8}	Lambsquarter	Smartweed	Sunflower ²	Velvetleaf	Canada thistle	Quackgrass	Yellow nutsedge
Preplant/Preemergence																			
Authority, Spartan (sulfentrazone)	14	G	P-F	P	P-F	P	P	E	E	F	F	F	G-E	F	P	F-G	P	P	F-G
Dual II Magnum, Warrant, Zidua (S-metolachlor, acetochlor, pyroxasulfone)	15	E	E	E	E	F	F	F-G	G	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
Command (clomazone)	13	E	G-E	G-E	E	F	F	P	F	F	G	P	G-E	G	F	E	P	P	P
Engenia, FeXapan, Xtendimax w/ VGT (dicamba) ³	4	E	P	P	P	P	P	F	G	G	G	G-E	G	G	G	F-G	G*	P	P
FirstRate, Amplify (clorasulam)	2	G-E	P	P	P	P	P	F-G	P	G	G-E	G-E	G	G-E	G	F-G	P	P	F-G
Linex, Lorox (linuron)	7	F	P-F	P-F	P	P	P	G-E	F	F	G	P-F	G-E	G-E	F	F	P	P	P
Prowl, Treflan, etc. (pendimethalin, trifluralin)	3	G-E	E	E	E	E	G-E	G	P	P	P	P	G	F	P	P	P	P	P
Pursuit (imazethapyr)	2	G	F-G	F	F-G	P-F	G	F-E	G-E	F	G	F	G	G-E	F-G	G	P	P	P
Python (flumetsulam)	2	E	P	P	P	P	P	E	F	F	F	P	F-G	G-E	F	E	P	P	P
Metribuzin, Sencor, TriCor, etc.	5	F-G	P	P	P-F	P	P	E	F	F	E	P	E	E	F-G	G-E	P	P	P-F
Sharpen (saflufenacil)	14	G	P	P	P	P	P	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	P	P	P
Valor SX, Rowel (flumioxazin)	14	F-G	P	P	P	P	P	G-E	E	P	G	F	G-E	F	P	F	P	P	P
Postemergence																			
Assure II, Fusilade DX, Fusion, Poast Plus, Select, (quizalofop, fluzifop, sethoxydim, clethodim)	1	E	E	E	E	E	E	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	G-E*	P
Basagran (bentazon)	6	E	P	P	P	P	P	P-F	P-F	E	E	F	P	E	G	G-E	G*	P	G*
Blazer (acifluorfen)	14	F-G	P	P	F	P	F	E	G	F	G	F	F	E	F	F	F	P	P
Classic (clorimuron)	2	G	P	P	P	P	P	E	P	E	G-E	F	P	G-E	E	G-E	F	P	G-E
Cobra, Phoenix (lactofen)	14	F-G	F	P	P	P	P	E	G	G-E	E	F-G	F	G	G	F	F	P	P
Engenia, FeXapan, Xtendimax with VGT (dicamba) ³	4	E	P	P	P	P	P	G-E	G	E	G-E	E	G	E	G	F-G	G*	P	P
Enlist One (2,4-D) ³	4	E	P	P	P	P	P	G-E	G	E	E	E	E	F-G	G-E	G-E	F-G*	P	P
FirstRate, Amplify (clorasulam)	2	G	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	G-E	E	E	P	G	E	G	P	P	P
Harmony (thifensulfuron)	9	E	E	G-E	E	E	E	G-E	F-G	E	E	G-E	G	E	E	G	G	G-E	F
Liberty (glufosinate) ³	2	F	P	P	P	P	P	E	P	F	F	P	G-E	G-E	G-E	G	P	P	P
Pursuit (imazethapyr)	10	E	E	G	G-E	E	E	G	E	E	E	G	G	E	E	E	F-G	G	F
Raptor (imazamox)	2	G	G	G	F-G	F	E	F-G	E	G-E	G	F	P-F	E	G	G-E	F	P	P
Reflex, Flexstar (fomesafen)	2	G	G-E	G-E	G-E	G	E	F-G	E	G-E	G	G	G	E	E	G-E	F	F	F
Resource (flumiclorac)	14	F-G	P	P	P	P	P	E	F-G	F	G	G	F	G-E	F	F	P-F	P	P
Roundup (glyphosate) ³	14	G-E	P	P	P	P	P	G	P	F	F-G	P	F	P	P	E	P	P	P

¹Ratings in this table are based on full label rates. Premix products containing ingredients marketed as single a. i. products may not be included in this table.

²ALS-resistant biotypes have been identified in Iowa. These biotypes may not be controlled by all ALS products.

³Use only on appropriate resistant varieties.

⁴Glyphosate-resistant biotypes of these weeds have been identified in Iowa. These biotypes may not be controlled by glyphosate.

⁵PPO-resistant biotypes of common waterhemp have been identified in Iowa. These biotypes may not be controlled by PPO inhibitor herbicides.

⁶HPPD-resistant biotypes of common waterhemp have been identified in Iowa. These biotypes may not be controlled by HPPD herbicides.

⁷PSII-resistant biotypes of these weeds have been identified in Iowa. These biotypes may not be controlled by PSII inhibitor herbicides.

⁸Biotypes of this weed with resistance to multiple sites of herbicide action have been identified in Iowa.

*Degree of perennial weed control is often a result of repeated application.

This chart should be used only as a guide. Ratings of herbicides may be higher or lower than indicated depending on soil characteristics, managerial factors, environmental variables, and rates applied. The evaluations for herbicides applied to the soil reflect appropriate mechanical weed control practices.

Grazing and Haying Restrictions for Herbicides Used in Grass Pastures

Herbicide	A. I.	HG	Rate/A	Beef and Non-Lactating Animals			Lactating Dairy Animals	
				Grazing	Hay harvest	Removal before slaughter	Grazing	Hay harvest
2,4-D	2,4-D	4	1.5 to 2.0 lb ae		7 days	0	0	7 days
Clarity and many others	dicamba	4	Up to 1 pt	0	0	30 days	7 days	37 days
			1-2 pt	0	0	30 days	21 days	51 days
			2-4 pt	0	0	30 days	40 days	70 days
			4-16 pt	0	0	30 days	60 days	90 days
Chaparral	aminopyralid + metsulfuron methyl	4, 2	1-3.3 oz	0	0	0	0	0
Cimarron Max (co-pack)	metsulfuron methyl + dicamba + 2,4-D	2, 4, 4	0.25-1 oz A + 1-4 pt B	0	0	30 days	7 days	37 days
Cimarron X-Tra	metsulfuron methyl + chlorsulfuron	2, 2	0.1-1.0 oz	0	0	0	0	0
Crossbow	triclopyr + 2,4-D	4, 4	1-6 qt	0	14 days	3 days	Growing season	Growing season
Curtail	clopyralid + 2,4-D	4, 4	2-4 qt	0	7 days	7 days*	14 days	7 days
Duracor	aminopyralid + florpiauxifen-benzyl	4, 4	12-20 oz	0	14 days	0	0	14 days
Escort XP	metsulfuron methyl	2, 2	Up to 1.7 oz	0	0	0	0	0
ForeFront HL	aminopyralid + 2,4-D	4, 4	1.2-2.1 pt	0	7 days	0	0	7 days
Grazon P&D	picloram + 2,4-D	4, 4	3-4 pt	0	30 days	3 days	7 days	30 days
Milestone	aminopyralid	4	3-7 oz	0	0	0	0	0
Overdrive	dicamba + diflufenzopyr	4, 19	4-8 oz	0	0	0	0	0
PastureGard HL	triclopyr + fluroxypyr	4, 4	1-1.5 pt	0	14 days	3 days	1 year	1 year
Rave	dicamba + triasulfuron	4, 2	2-5 oz	0	37 days	30 days	7 days	37 days
Redeem R&P	triclopyr + clopyralid	4, 4	1.5-4 pt	0	14 days	3 days	Growing season	Growing season
Remedy Ultra	triclopyr	4, 19	1-2 qt	0	14 days	3 days	Growing season	Growing season
Surmount	picloram + fluroxypyr	4, 4	1.5-6 pts	0	7	3	14	7
Tordon 22K	picloram	4	< 2 pts	0	0	3	14	14
			> 2 pts	0	14	3	14	14
Weedmaster	dicamba + 2,4-D	4, 4	1-4 pts	0	7 days	30 days	7 days	7 days

*7 days slaughter interval if Curtail was freshly applied, withdrawal not needed if 2 weeks or more have elapsed since application.

Herbicide Package Mixes

The following table provides information concerning the active ingredients found in prepackage mixes, the amount of active ingredients applied with a typical use rate, and the equivalent rates of the individual products.

Corn Herbicide Premixes or Co-packs and Equivalents

Herbicide	Group	Components (a. i. /gal or % a. i.)	If you apply (per acre)	You have applied a.i.	An equivalent tank mix of (product)
Acuron	15	2.14 lb S-metolachlor	3 qt	1.6 lb S-metolachlor	27 oz Dual II Magnum
	5	1.0 lb atrazine		0.75 lb atrazine	1.5 pt atrazine 4L
	27	0.24 lb mesotrione		0.18 lb mesotrione	5.8 oz Callisto
	27	0.06 lb bicyclopyrone		0.72 oz bicyclopyrone	N/A
Acuron Flexi	27	0.08 lb bicyclopyrone	2.25 qt	0.72 oz bicyclopyrone	N/A
	27	0.32 lb mesotrione		0.18 lb mesotrione	5.8 oz Callisto
	15	2.86 lb S-metolachlor		1.61 lb S-metolachlor	27 oz Dual II Magnum
Acuron GT	15	2.00 lb S-metolachlor	3.75 pt	0.94 lb S-metolachlor	15.75 oz Dual II Magnum
	9	2.00 lb ae glyphosate		0.94 lb ae glyphosate	1.67 pt Roundup WMax
	27	0.20 lb mesotrione		1.5 oz mesotrione	3 oz Callisto
	27	0.095 lb bicyclopyrone		0.7 oz bicyclopyrone	N/A
Alluvex WSG	2	16.7% rimsulfuron	1.5 oz	0.25 oz rimsulfuron	0.5 oz Harmony SG
	2	16.7% thifensulfuron		0.25 oz thifensulfuron	1.0 oz Resolve SG
Anthem	15	2.087 lb pyroxasulfone	10 oz	0.16 lb pyroxasulfone	3.0 oz Zidua
	14	0.063 lb fluthiacet-methyl		0.08 oz fluthiacet-methyl	0.7 oz Cadet
Anthem Maxx	15	4.174 lb pyroxasulfone	5 oz	0.16 oz pyroxasulfone	3.0 oz Zidua
	14	0.126 lb fluthiacet-methyl		0.08 oz fluthiacet-methyl	0.7 oz Cadet
Anthem ATZ	5	4 lb atrazine	2 pt	1 lb atrazine	2 pt atrazine 4L
	15	0.485 lb pyroxasulfone		0.12 lb pyroxasulfone	2.25 oz Zidua
	14	0.014 lb fluthiacet		0.06 oz fluthiacet-methyl	0.6 oz Cadet
Armezon Pro	15	5.25 lb dimethenamid-P	20 oz	0.82 lb dimethenamid-P	17.5 oz Outlook
	27	0.1 lb topramezone		0.26 oz topramezone	0.73 oz Armezon
Basis Blend	2	20% rimsulfuron	0.825 oz	0.167 oz rimsulfuron	0.67 Resolve
	2	10% thifensulfuron		0.083 oz thifensulfuron	0.16 oz Harmony
Bicep II MAGNUM, Cinch ATZ, Medal II AT, Charger Max ATZ	15	2.4 lb S-metolachlor	2.1 qt	1.26 lb S-metolachlor	1.31 pt Dual II MAGNUM
	5	3.1 lb atrazine		1.63 lb atrazine	3.25 pt Aatrex 4L
Bicep Lite II MAGNUM, Cinch ATZ Lite, Charger Max ATZ Lite	15	3.33 lb S-metolachlor	1.5 qt	1.25 lb S-metolachlor	1.31 pt Dual II MAGNUM
	5	2.67 lb atrazine		1.00 lb atrazine	2 pt atrazine 4L
Breakfree NXT ATZ	15	3.1 lb acetochlor	2.7 qt	2.1 lb acetochlor	2.4 pt Breakfree NXT
	5	2.5 lb atrazine		1.7 lb atrazine	3.4 pt atrazine 4L

Corn Herbicide Package Mixes (continued)

Herbicide	Group	Components (a. i./gal or % a. i.)	If you apply (per acre)	You have applied a.i.	An equivalent tank mix of (product)
Breakfree NXT Lite	15	4.3 lb acetochlor	2 qt	2.2 lb acetochlor	2.5 pt Breakfree NXT
	5	1.7 lb atrazine		0.85 lb atrazine	1.7 pt atrazine 4L
Callisto GT	9	3.8 lb glyphosate	2 pt	0.95 lb glyphosate	1.8 pt Touchdown
	27	0.38 lb mesotrione		1.52 oz mesotrione	3.04 oz Callisto
Callisto Xtra	27	0.5 lb mesotrione	24 fl oz	1.44 oz mesotrione	3.0 oz Callisto
	5	3.2 lb atrazine		0.6 lb atrazine	1.2 pt atrazine 4L
Capreno	2	0.57 lb thiencazabone	3.0 oz	0.16 oz thiencazabone	-
	27	2.88 lb tembotrione		1.09 oz tembotrione	2.5 oz Laudis
Corvus	27	1.88 lb isoxaflutole	5.6 oz	1.3 oz isoxaflutole	5.1 oz Balance Flexx
	2	0.75 lb thiencazabone		0.5 oz thiencazabone	
Crusher 50 WDF	2	25% rimsulfuron	1 oz	0.25 oz rimsulfuron	1 oz Resolve SG
	2	25% thifensulfuron		0.25 oz thifensulfuron	0.5 oz Harmony SG
Degree Xtra	15	2.7 lb acetochlor	3 qt	2 lb acetochlor	2.29 pt Harness 7E
	5	1.34 lb atrazine		1 lb atrazine	1 qt atrazine 4L
DiFlexx Duo	27	0.27 lb tembotrione	32 oz	0.067 lb tembotrione	2.5 oz Laudis
	4	1.86 lb dicamba		0.31 lb dicamba	10 oz DiFlexx
Distinct 70WDG	19	21.4% diflufenzopyr	6 oz	1.3 oz diflufenzopyr	1.3 oz diflufenzopyr
	4	55.0% dicamba		3.3 oz dicamba	6 oz Banvel
Enlist Duo	4	1.6 lb 2,4-D choline salt	4.75 pt	0.95 lb ae 2,4-D	1.9 pt 2,4-D 4A
	9	1.7 lb glyphosate DMA		1.0 lb ae glyphosate	2 pt Durango DMA
Expert 4.9SC	15	1.74 lb S-metolachlor	3 qt	1.3 lb S-metolachlor	1.4 pt Dual II Mag.
	5	2.14 lb atrazine		1.61 lb atrazine	1.6 qt Aatrex 4L
	9	0.74 lb ae glyphosate		0.55 lb ae glyphosate	1.5 pt Glyphosate 3L
Fierce	14	33.5% flumioxazin	3 oz	1 oz flumioxazin	2 oz Valor
	15	42.5% pyroxasulfone		1.28 oz pyroxasulfone	1.5 oz Zidua
FullTime NXT	15	2.7 lb acetochlor	3 qt	2.0 lb acetochlor	2.5 pt Surpass 6.4EC
	5	1.34 lb atrazine		1.0 lb atrazine	2.0 pt atrazine 4L
Halex GT	15	2.09 lb S-metolachlor	3.6 pt	0.94 lb S-metolachlor	1.0 pt Dual II Magnum
	27	0.209 lb mesotrione		1.44 oz mesotrione	3.0 oz Callisto
	9	2.09 lb glyphosate		0.94 lb glyphosate ae	1.5 pt Touchdown HiTech
Harness MAX	15	3.52 lb acetochlor	75 fl oz	2.05 lb acetochlor	2.3 pt Harness
	27	0.33 lb mesotrione		0.188 lb mesotrione	6.0 oz Callisto
Harness Xtra, Confidence Xtra	15	4.3 lb acetochlor	2.3 qt	2.5 lb acetochlor	2.9 pt Harness 7E

Corn Herbicide Package Mixes (continued)

Herbicide	Group	Components (a. i /gal or % a. i.)	If you apply (per acre)	You have applied a.i.	An equivalent tank mix of (product)
Keystone LA NXT	5	1.7 lb atrazine		0.98 lb atrazine	1 qt atrazine 4L
Harness Xtra 5.6L, Confidence Xtra 5.6	15	3.1 lb acetochlor	3 qt	2.325 lb acetochlor	2.66 pt Harness 7E
Keystone NXT	5	2.5 lb atrazine		1.875 lb atrazine	1.9 qt atrazine 4L
Hornet WDG	2	18.5% flumetsulam	5 oz	0.924 oz flumetsulam	1.15 oz Python WDG
	4	60% clopyralid		0.195 lb clopyralid	6.68 oz Stinger 3S
Impact Core	15	7.08 lb acetochlor	30 oz	1.66 lb acetochlor	1.9 pt Harness 7E
	27	0.07 lb topramezone		0.02 lb topramezone	0.9 oz Impact
Integrity	14	6.24% saflufenacil	13 oz	0.058 lb saflufenacil	2.6 oz Sharpen
	15	55.04% dimethenamid		0.5 lb dimethenamid	10.9 oz Outlook
Instigate	2	4.17% rimsulfuron	6.0 oz	0.25 oz rimsulfuron	1.5 oz Resolve
	27	41.67% mesotrione		2.5 oz mesotrione	5 oz Callisto
Katagon	2	1.00 lb nicosulfuron	2.3 fl oz	0.3 oz nicosulfuron	0.55 oz Accent Q
	27	1.00 lb tolpyralate		0.3 oz tolpyralate	0.7 oz Shieldex 400SC
Kyber	5	1.5 lb metribuzin	1.25 pt	0.23 lb metribuzin	0.23 lb metribuzin
	14	0.5 lb flumioxazin		0.07 lb flumioxazin	2.2 oz Valor
	15	0.64 lb pyroxasulfone		0.1 lb pyroxasulfone	1.9 oz Zidua
Lexar EZ	15	1.74 lb S-metolachlor	3.5 qt	1.52 lb S-metolachlor	1.6 pt Dual II Mag.
	5	1.74 lb atrazine		1.52 lb atrazine	3 pt Aatrex 4L
	27	0.224 lb mesotrione		0.196 lb mesotrione	6.27 oz Callisto
Lumax EZ	27	0.268 lb mesotrione	3 qt	0.2 lb mesotrione	6 oz Callisto
	15	2.68 lb S-metolachlor		2 lb S-metolachlor	2 pt Dual II MAGNUM
	5	1 lb atrazine		0.75 lb atrazine	0.75 qt Aatrex 4L
NorthStar	2	7.5% primisulfuron	5.0 oz	0.375 oz primisulfuron	0.5 oz Beacon 75SG
	4	43.9% dicamba		2.20 oz dicamba	4.4 oz Banvel 4L
Optill	14	17.8% saflufenacil	2 oz	0.35 oz saflufenacil	1 oz Sharpen
	2	50.2% imazethapyr		1 oz imazethapyr	4 oz Pursuit
Panoflex 50 WSG	2	40% tribenuron	0.5 oz	0.2 oz tribenuron	0.2 oz tribenuron
	2	10% thifensulfuron		0.05 oz thifensulfuron	0.1 oz Harmony SG
Perpetuo	14	0.59 lb flumiclorac	8 oz	0.037 lb flumiclorac	-
	15	1.71 lb pyroxasulfone		0.11 lb pyroxasulfone	2.1 oz Zidua
Prequel 45% DF	2	15% rimsulfuron	2 oz	0.3 oz rimsulfuron	1.2 oz Resolve SG
	27	30% isoxaflutole		0.59 oz isoxaflutole	1.2 oz Balance Pro

Corn Herbicide Package Mixes (continued)

Herbicide	Group	Components (a. i. /gal or % a. i.)	If you apply (per acre)	You have applied a.i.	An equivalent tank mix of (product)
Priority	14	12.3% carfentrazone	1.0 oz	0.13 oz carfentrazone	0.5 oz Aim
	2	50% halosulfuron		0.51 oz halosulfuron	0.68 oz Permit
Realm Q	2	7.5% rimsulfuron	4 oz	0.3 oz rimsulfuron	1.2 oz Resolve SG
	27	31.25% mesotrione		1.25 oz mesotrione	2.5 oz Callisto
Resicore	15	2.8 lb acetochlor	2.5 qt	1.75 lb acetochlor	2.0 pt Surpass NXT
	27	0.3 lb mesotrione		0.188 lb mesotrione	6.0 oz Callisto
	4	0.19 lb clopyralid		0.119 lb clopyralid	5.0 oz Stinger
Resolve Q	2	18.4% rimsulfuron	1.25 oz	0.23 oz rimsulfuron	0.9 oz Resolve DF
	2	4.0% thifensulfuron		0.05 oz thifensulfuron	0.1 oz Harmony SG
Restraint	15	6.4 lb acetochlor	30 fl oz	1.5 lb acetochlor	1.7 pt Harness 7E
	27	0.09 lb tolpyralate		0.35 oz tolpyralate	0.85 oz Shieldex 400SC
Revulin Q	27	36.8% mesotrione	4 oz	1.5 oz mesotrione	3 oz Callisto
	2	14.4% nicosulfuron		0.58 oz nicosulfuron	1.1 oz Accent Q
Scorch	4	1 lb gal dicamba	1.5 pt	0.187 lb dicamba	0.37 pt Clarity
	4	3.02 lb 2,4-D		0.57 lb 2,4-D	1.1 pt 2,4-D LVE 4
	4	0.75 lb fluroxypyr		0.14 lb fluroxypyr	0.4 pt Starane Ultra
Sequence	9	2.25 lbs glyphosate	4 pt	1.12 lbs glyphosate	1.75 pt Touchdown
	15	3 lbs S-metolachlor		1.5 lbs S-metolachlor	1.63 pt Dual II MAGNUM
Sinate	10	2.47 lb glufosinate	28 oz	0.54 lb glufosinate	30 oz Liberty
		0.1 lb topramezone		0.022 lb topramezone	1 oz Impact
Solstice	27	3.78 lb mesotrione	3.15 oz	1.49 oz mesotrione	3 oz Callisto
	14	0.22 lb fluthiacet-methyl		0.08 oz fluthiacet-m	0.75 oz Cadet
Spirit 57WG	2	14.25% prosulfuron	1 oz	0.1425 oz prosulfuron	0.25 oz Peak 57WG
	2	42.75% primisulfuron		0.4275 oz primisulfuron	0.57 oz Beacon 75SG
Spitfire	4	0.5 lb dicamba acid	2 pt	0.12 lb ae dicamba	3.8 oz Banvel
	4	3.07 lb ae 2,4-D ester		0.77 lb ae 2,4-D	1.63 pt 2,4-D 4E
Status 56WDG	19	17.1 % diflufenzopyr	5 oz	0.8 oz diflufenzopyr	0.8 oz diflufenzopyr
	4	44% dicamba		0.125 lb dicamba	4 oz Banvel
Steadfast Q	2	25.2% nicosulfuron	1.5 oz	0.37 oz nicosulfuron	0.68 oz Accent Q
	2	12.5% rimsulfuron		0.19 oz rimsulfuron	0.76 oz Resolve DF
Surestart II/Tripleflex II, Trisidual	15	3.75 lb acetochlor	2.0 pt	0.94 lb acetochlor	1.2 pt Surpass 6.4E
	4	0.38 lb clopyralid		1.5 oz clopyralid	4.1 oz Stinger 3S
	2	0.12 lb flumetsulam		0.48 oz flumetsulam	0.6 oz Python WDG

Corn Herbicide Package Mixes (continued)

Herbicide	Group	Components (a. i /gal or % a. i.)	If you apply (per acre)	You have applied a.i.	An equivalent tank mix of (product)
Verdict	14	0.57 lb saflufenacil	14 oz	0.06 oz saflufenacil	0.17 oz Sharpen
	15	5 lb dimethenamid-P		0.55 oz dimethenamid-P	0.73 oz Outlook
WideMatch 1.5EC	4	0.75 lb fluroxypyr	1.3 pt	0.125 lb fluroxypyr	10.6 oz Starane 1.5E
	4	0.75 lb clopyralid		0.125 lb clopyralid	5.3 oz Stinger 3S
Yukon	2	12.5% halosulfuron	4 oz	0.5 oz halosulfuron	0.66 oz Permit
	4	55% dicamba		0.125 lb dicamba	4 oz Banvel

Soybean Herbicide Premixes or Co-packs and Equivalents

Herbicide	Group	Components (a. i./gal or % a. i.)	If you apply (per acre)	You have applied a.i.	An equivalent tank mix of (product)
Afforia	14	40.8% flumioxazin	3 oz	1.22 oz flumioxazin	2.4 oz Valor SX
	2	5.0% thifensulfuron		0.15 oz thifensulfuron	0.3 oz Harmony
	2	5.0% tribenuron		0.15 oz tribenuron	0.3 oz Express
Anthem Maxx	15	4.174 lb pyroxasulfone	5 oz	0.16 oz pyroxasulfone	3 oz Zidua
	14	0.126 lb fluthiacet methyl		0.08 oz fluthiacet	0.7 oz Cadet
Authority Assist, Zone Assist	14	33.3% sulfentrazone	10 oz	0.21 lb sulfentrazone	5.6 oz Authority 75DF
	2	6.67% imazethapyr		0.67 oz imazethapyr	3.4 oz Pursuit AS
Authority Edge	14	2.73 lb sulfentrazone	10 oz	0.21 lb sulfentrazone	5.6 oz Authority 75DF
	15	1.52 lb pyroxasulfone		0.12 lb pyroxasulfone	2.3oz Zidua
Authority Elite, BroadAxe XC, Zone Elite	14	0.7 lb sulfentrazone	25 oz	0.14 lb sulfentrazone	0.19 lb Authority 75DF
	15	6.3 lb S-metolachlor		1.23 lb S-metolachlor	0.16 gal Dual II MAGNUM
Authority First/Sonic	14	62.1% sulfentrazone	8.0 oz	0.31 lb sulfentrazone	6.6 oz Authority 75DF
	2	7.96% cloransulam-methyl		0.64 oz cloransulam-methyl	0.76 oz FirstRate
Authority MAXX, Zone Maxx	14	62.12% sulfentrazone	7 oz	4.3 oz sulfentrazone	5.7 oz Authority 75DF
	2	3.88% chlorimuron		0.28 oz chlorimuron	1.1 oz Classic 25DF
Authority MTZ	14	18% sulfentrazone	16 oz	0.18 lb sulfentrazone	3.8 oz Authority 75DF
	5	27% metribuzin		0.27 lb metribuzin	0.36 lb Metribuzin 75DF
Authority Supreme	14	20.66% sulfentrazone	10 oz	0.13 lb sulfentrazone	0.17 lb Authority 75DF
	15	20.66% pyroxasulfone		0.13 lb pyroxasulfone	2.4 oz Zidual
Authority XL	14	62.2% sulfentrazone	8 oz	5.0 oz sulfentrazone	6.6 oz Authority 75DF
	2	7.8% chlorimuron		0.6 oz chlorimuron	2.4 oz Classic
Boundary 7.8EC, Presidual	15	5.2 lbs S-metolachlor	2.1 pt	1.4 lb S-metolachlor	1.5 pt Dual II MAG.
	5	1.25 lbs metribuzin		0.3 lb metribuzin	0.4 lb Metribuzin 75DF
Canopy 75DF	2	10.7% chlorimuron-ethyl	6 oz	0.5 oz chlorimuron	2.0 oz Classic 25DF
	5	64.3% metribuzin		3 oz metribuzin	0.25 lb Metribuzin 75DF
Canopy EX	2	22.7% chlorimuron	1.5 oz	0.34 oz chlorimuron	1.36 oz Classic
	2	6.8% tribenuron		0.10 oz tribenuron	0.10 oz tribenuron
Cheetah Max	10	2 lb glufosinate	34 oz	0.53 lb glufosinate	1.81 pt Liberty
	14	1 lb fomesafen		0.27 lb fomesafen	1.13 pt Flexstar
Crusher	2	25% rimsulfuron	1 oz	0.25 oz rimsulfuron	1.0 oz Resolve DF
	2	25% thifensulfuron		0.25 oz thifensulfuron	0.5 oz Harmony SG

Soybean Herbicide Package Mixes (continued)

Herbicide	Group	Components (a. i /gal or % a. i.)	If you apply (per acre)	You have applied a.i.	An equivalent tank mix of (product)
Enlist Duo	4	1.6 lb ae 2,4-D choline salt	4 pt	0.8 lb ae 2,4-D	1.63 pt 2,4-D 4A
	9	1.7 lb ae glyphosate		0.85 lb ae glyphosate	1.5 pt Roundup WMax
Enlite 47.9DG	14	36.2% flumioxazin	2.8 oz	1.0 oz flumioxazin	2.0 oz Valor
	2	8.8% thifensulfuron		0.25 oz thifensulfuron	0.5 oz Harmony SG
	2	2.8% chlorimuron ethyl		0.08 oz chlorimuron ethyl	0.32 oz Classic 25 DF
Envive 41.3DG	14	29.2% flumioxazin	3.5 oz	1.0 oz flumioxazin	2.0 oz Valor
	2	2.9% thifensulfuron		0.10 oz thifensulfuron	0.2 oz Harmony SG
	2	9.2% chlorimuron ethyl		0.32 oz chlorimuron ethyl	1.3 oz Classic 25DF
Extreme	2	1.8% imazethapyr	3 pt	1.02 oz imazethapyr	4 oz Pursuit 2AS
	9	22% glyphosate		0.75 lb glyphosate	1.5 pt Roundup
Fierce 76% WDG	14	33.5 % flumioxazin	3 oz	1.0 oz flumioxazin	2.0 oz Valor
	15	42.5% pyroxasulfone		1.28 oz pyroxasulfone	1.5 oz Zidua
Fierce XLT	14	24.57% flumioxazin	4 oz	1.0 oz flumioxazin	2.0 oz Valor
	15	31.17% pyroxasulfone		1.28 oz pyroxasulfone	1.5 oz Zidua
	2	6.67% chlorimuron		0.25 oz chlorimuron	1 oz Classic DF
Flexstar GT 3.5	14	0.56 lb fomesafen	3.5 pt	0.245 lb fomesafen	1 pt Flexstar
	9	2.26 lb glyphosate		1.0 lb glyphosate	1.63 pt Touchdown HiTech
Fusion 2.67E	1	2 lb fluazifop	8 fl oz	0.125 lb fluazifop	8 fl oz Fusilade DX 2E
	1	0.67 lb fenoxaprop		0.67 oz fenoxaprop	8 fl oz Option II 0.67E
Harrow	2	50% rimsulfuron	0.5 oz	0.25 oz rimsulfuron	1 oz Matrix SG
	2	25% thifensulfuron		0.12 oz thifensulfuron	0.25 oz Harmony SG
Kyber	5	1.5 lb metribuzin	1.25 pt	0.23 lb metribuzin	0.23 lb metribuzin
	14	0.5 lb flumioxazin		0.07 lb flumioxazin	2.2 oz Valor
	15	0.64 lb pyroxasulfone		0.1 lb pyroxasulfone	1.9 oz Zidua
Latir	14	31.5% flumioxazin	3.2 oz	1 oz flumioxazin	2 oz Valor
	2	23.5% imazethapyr		0.75 oz imazethapyr	3 oz Pursuit
Marvel	14	1.2% fluthiacet	5 oz	0.075 oz fluthiacet	0.66 oz Cadet
	14	30.08% fomesafen		1.8 oz fomesafen	0.5 pt Flexstar
Matador	15	4 lb metolachlor	2 pt	1 lb metolachlor	1 pt Stalwart
	5	0.56 lb metribuzin		2.25 oz metribuzin	3 oz Metribuzin 75DG
	2	0.13 lb imazethapyr		2 oz imazethapyr	2 oz Pursuit 2AS
OpTill	14	17.8% saflufenacil	2 oz	0.35 oz saflufenacil	1 oz Sharpen
	2	50.2% imazethapyr		1.0 oz imazethapyr	4 oz Pursuit AS

Soybean Herbicide Package Mixes (continued)

Panoflex 50% WSG	2	40% tribenuron	0.5 oz	0.2 oz tribenuron	0.2 oz tribenuron
	2	10% thifensulfuron		0.05 oz thifensulfuron	0.1 oz Harmony SG
Panther Pro	14	0.67 lb flumioxazin/gal	12 oz	0.06 lb flumioxazin	2 fluid oz Panther SC
	2	0.56 lb imazethapyr		0.053 lb imazethapyr	3.2 fluid oz Pursuit
	5	3 lb metribuzin		0.28 lb metribuzin	6 oz of a metribuzin 75% WDG
Perpetuo	14	0.59 lb flumiclorac	8 oz	0.037 lb flumiclorac	-
	15	1.71 lb pyroxasulfone		0.11 lb pyroxasulfone	2.1 oz Zidua
Prefix	15	46.4% S-metolachlor	2 pt	1.09 lb S-metolachlor	1.14 pt Dual Magnum
	14	10.2% fomesafen		0.238 lb fomesafen	0.95 pt Reflex
Pummel	15	5.0 lb metolachlor	2 pt	1.25 lb metolachlor	1.2 pt Stalwart
	2	0.25 lb imazethapyr		1 oz imazethapyr	4 oz Pursuit
Pursuit Plus 2.9E	2	0.2 lb imazethapyr	2.5 pt	0.063 lb imazethapyr	4.0 oz Pursuit 2S
	3	2.7 lb pendimethalin		0.84 lb pendimethalin	2.00 pt Prowl 3.3E
Rowel FX	2	10.3% chlorimuron ethyl	5 oz	0.52 oz chlorimuron ethyl	0.21 oz Classic
	14	30% flumioxazin		1.5 oz flumioxazin	2.94 oz Valor
Sequence 5.25L	15	3.0 lb S-metolachlor	3 pt	1.13 lb S-metolachlor	1.2 pt Dual Magnum
	9	2.25 lb glyphosate		0.84 lb ae glyphosate	1.63 pt Touchdown
Sonic	14	6.21% sulfentrazone	8.0 oz	0.361 lb sulfentrazone	6.6 oz Authority 75DF
	2	7.96% cloransulam-methyl		0.64 oz cloransulam-methyl	0.76 oz FirstRate
Statement	15	4.22 lb metolachlor	2 pt	1.1 lb metolachlor	1.1 pt Stalwart
	14	0.91 lb fomesafen		0.23 lb fomesafen	15.3 oz Rhythm
Storm 4S	6	2.67 lb bentazon	1.5 pt	0.50 lb bentazon	1 pt Basagran 4S
	14	1.33 lb acifluorfen		0.25 lb acifluorfen	1 pt Blazer 2S
Surveil	14	51% flumioxazin	3.6 oz	1.5 oz flumioxazin	3.0 oz Valor
	2	84% chloransulam		0.5 oz chloransulam	0.6 oz FirstRate
Synchrony NXT	2	21.5% chlorimuron	0.5 oz	0.11 oz chlorimuron	0.44 oz Classic 25DF
	2	6.9% thifensulfuron		0.034 oz thifensulfuron	0.068 oz Harmony SG
Tailwind	15	5.25 lb metolachlor	2 pt	1.3 lb metolachlor	1.3 pt Stalwart 8E
	5	1.25 lb metribuzin		0.31 lb metribuzin	0.4 lb Metribuzin 75DF
Tavium plus VGT	4	1.12 lb dicamba a.e.	56.5 fl oz	0.5 lb dicamba	22 oz Xtendimax w/VGT
	15	2.26 lb s-metolachlor		1.0 lb s-metolachlor	1.0 pt Dual Magnum
Torment	14	2.0 lb fomesafen	1 pt	0.25 lb fomesafen	2.1 pt Flexstar
	2	0.5 lb imazethapyr		1 oz imazethapyr	4 oz Pursuit

Soybean Herbicide Package Mixes (continued)

Herbicide	Group	Components (a. i./gal or % a. i.)	If you apply (per acre)	You have applied a.i.	An equivalent tank mix of (product)
Trivence WDG	2	3.9% chlorimuron-ethyl	6 oz	0.23 oz chlorimuron	1.0 oz Classic 25DF
	14	12.8% flumioxazin		0.77 oz flumioxazin	1.5 oz Valor
	5	44.6% metribuzin		2.68 oz metribuzin	0.22 lb Metribuzin 75DF
Valor XLT	14	30.3% flumioxazin	3 oz	0.9 oz flumioxazin	1.76 oz Valor
	2	10.3% chlorimuron ethyl		0.3 oz chlorimuron	1.24 oz Classic
Varisto	6	4.0 lb bentazon	27 oz	0.84 lb bentazon	0.84 qt Basagran
	2	0.187 lb imazamox		0.64 oz imazamox	5.1 oz Raptor
Warrant Ultra	15	2.82 lb acetochlor	50 oz	1.1 lb acetochlor	3 pt Warrant
	14	0.63 lb fomesafen		0.25 lb fomesafen	1 pt Reflex
Zidua Pro	14	0.48 lbs saflufenacil	4.5 oz	0.26 oz saflufenacil	0.73 oz Sharpen
	2	1.33 lbs imazethapyr		0.75 oz imazethapyr	3 oz Pursuit
	15	2.28 lbs pyroxasulfone		1.28 oz pyroxasulfone	1.5 oz Zidua
ZoneDefense	14	62% sulfentrazone	5 oz	3.1 oz sulfentrazone	6.2 oz Spartan
	14	15% flumioxazin		0.8 oz flumioxazin	1.6 oz Valor SX

Herbicide Sites of Action

Table 1. Herbicide classification by group number and site of action

Group No.	Site of Action (mode of action)	Examples
1	ACC-ase (lipid synthesis)	Poast, Select
2	ALS (amino acid synthesis)	Pursuit, Classic, Accent
3	Tubulin (cell division)	Treflan, Prowl
4	Auxin binding site (synthetic auxin)	2,4-D; Clarity
5	D1 protein (Photosystem II inhibition)	atrazine, metribuzin
6	D1 protein (Photosystem II inhibition)	Basagran
7	D1 protein (Photosystem II inhibition)	linuron
9	EPSPS (shikimic acid pathway inhibition)	Roundup, glyphosate
10	Glutamine synthetase (photosynthesis inhibition)	Liberty
13	DPX synthase (carotene synthesis)	Command
14	PPO (chlorophyll synthesis)	Cobra, Flexstar, Valor, Authority
15	Unknown (VLC fatty acid synthesis)	Dual II Magnum, Harness, Zidua
19	Auxin transport	NA
22	Photosystem I	Paraquat
27	HPPD (carotene synthesis)	Callisto, Balance

Table 2. Active ingredients and group numbers of single ingredient products.

Trade name	Herbicide Group No.	Active ingredient
2,4-D, Enlist One/Duo, and others	4	2,4-D
Accent Q	2	nicosulfuron
Aim	14	carfentrazone
Assure II	1	quizalofop
atrazine	5	atrazine
Autumn	2	iodosulfuron
Balance Flexx	27	isoxaflutole
Banvel/Clarity/DiFlexx/Xtendimax/Engenia	4	dicamba
Basagran	6	bentazon
Beacon	2	primisulfuron
Buctril	6	bromoxynil
Cadet	14	fluthiacet-ethyl
Callisto	27	mesotrione
Classic	2	chorimuron
Cobra	14	lactofen
Command	13	clomazone
Dual/Cinch	15	S-metolachlor
Express	2	tribenuron
FirstRate	2	cloransulam
FlexStar/Reflex	14	fomesafen
Fusilade DX	1	fluazifop
Gramoxone SL/Parazone	22	paraquat

Table 2. Active ingredients and group numbers of single ingredient products. (continued)

Trade name	Herbicide Group No.	Active ingredient
Harmony	2	thifensulfuron
Harness/Surpass/Breakfree/Warrant	15	acetochlor
Impact/Armezon	27	topramezone
Laudis	27	tembotrione
Liberty	10	glufosinate
Lorox/Linex	7	linuron
Metribuzin/TriCor/Sencor	5	metribuzin
Option	2	foramsulfuron
Outlook	15	dimethenamid
Peak	2	prosulfuron
Permit	2	halosulfuron
Poast	1	sethoxydim
Prowl	3	pendimethalin
Pursuit	2	imazethapyr
Python	2	flumetsulam
Raptor	2	imazamox
Resolve/Bestow	2	rimsulfuron
Resource	14	flumiclorac
Roundup/Touchdown	9	glyphosate
Scepter	2	imazaquin
Select	1	clethodim
Sharpen	14	saflufenacil
Shieldex	27	topryalate
Sonalan	3	ethalfuralin
Spartan/Authority	14	sulfentrazone
Stinger	4	clopyralid
Tough	6	pyridate
Treflan/Thrust	3	trifluralin
UltraBlazer	14	acifluorfen
Valor/Rowel/Panther SC	14	flumioxazin
Warrant	15	acetochlor
Zidua	15	pyroxasulfone
Only sold in premix	2	thiencarbazone
Only sold in premix	19	diflufenzopyr
Only sold in premix	1	fenoxaprop
Only sold in premix	27	bicyclA62:C82

Table 3. Active ingredients and group numbers of herbicide premixes.

Trade name	Herbicide Group No.	Active ingredient
Acuron	5, 15, 27, 27	atrazine, S-metolachlor, mesotrione, bicyclopyrone
Acuron Flexi	15, 27, 27	S-metolachlor, mesotrione, bicyclopyrone
Afforia	2, 2, 14	thifensulfuron, tribenuron, flumioxazin
Alluvex	2, 2	rimsulfuron, thifensulfuron
Anthem	14, 15	fluthiacet, pyroxasulfone
Anthem ATZ	5, 14, 15	atrazine, fluthiacet, pyroxasulfone
Anthem Maxx	14, 15	fluthiacet, pyroxasulfone
Armezon Pro	15, 27	dimethenamid-P, topramezone
Authority Assist	2, 14	imazethapyr, sulfentrazone
Authority Edge/Authority Supreme	14, 15	sulfentrazone, pyroxasulfone
Authority Elite	14, 15	sulfentrazone, S-metolachlor
Authority MTZ	5, 14	metribuzin, sulfentrazone
Authority XL	2, 14	chlorimuron, sulfentrazone
Autumn Super	2, 2	iodosulfuron, thiencazabone
Basis Blend	2, 2	rimsulfuron, thifensulfuron
Bicep	5, 15	atrazine, S-metolachlor
Boundry	15, 5	S-metolachlor, metribuzin
Breakfree NXT ATZ, Breakfree NXT Lite	5, 15	atrazine, acetochlor
BroadAxe	14, 15	sulfentrazone, S-metolachlor
Callisto GT	9, 27	glyphosate, mesotrione
Callisto Xtra	5, 27	atrazine, mesotrione
Canopy	2, 5	chloriuron, metribuzin
Canopy EX	2, 2	chlorimuron, tribenuron
Capreno	2, 27	thiencazabone, tembotrione
Charger Max ATZ	5, 15	atrazine, S-metolachlor
Cheetah Max	10, 14	glufosinate, fomesafen
Cinch ATZ	15, 5	S-metolachlor, atrazine
Confidence Xtra	5, 25	atrazine, acetochlor
Corvus	2, 27	thiencazabone, isoxaflutole
Crusher	2, 2	rimsulfuron, thifensulfuron
Degree Xtra	5, 15	atrazine, acetochlor
DiFlexx	4, 27	dicamba, isoxaflutole
Diflexx Duo	4, 27	dicamba, tembotrione
Enlist Duo	4, 9	2,4-D, glyphosate
Enlite	2, 2, 14	chlorimuron, thifensulfuron, flumioxazin
Envive	2, 2, 14	chloriuron, thifensulfuron, flumioxazin
Expert	5, 9, 15	atrazine, glyphosate, S-metolachlor
Extreme	2, 9	imazethapyr, glyphosate
Fierce	14, 15	flumioxazin, pyroxasulfone
Fierce XLT	2, 14, 15	chlorimuron, flumioxazin, pyroxasulfone
Flexstar GT	9, 14	glyphosate, fomesafen
FulTime NXT	5, 15	atrazine, acetochlor
Fusion	1, 1	fenoxaprop, fluazifop
Halex GT	9, 15, 27	glyphosate, S-metolachlor, mesotrione

Table 3. Active ingredients and group numbers of herbicide premixes. (continued)

Trade name	Herbicide Group No.	Active ingredient
Harness MAX	15, 27	acetochlor, mesotrione
Harness Xtra	5, 15	atrazine, acetochlor
Harrow	2, 2	rimsulfuron, thifensulfuron
Impact Core	15, 27	acetochlor, topramezone
ImpactZ	5, 27	atrazine, topramezone
Instigate	2, 27	rimsulfuron, mesotrione
Keystone NXT, Keystone LA NXT	5, 15	atrazine, acetochlor
Kyber	5, 14, 15	metribuzin, flumioxazin, pyroxasulfone
Latir	2, 14	imazethapyr, flumioxazin
Lexar EZ	5, 15, 27	atrazine, S-metolachlor, mesotrione
Lumax EZ	5, 15, 27	atrazine, S-metolachlor, mesotrione
Marksman	4, 5	dicamba, atrazine
Marvel	14, 14	fluthiacet, fomesafen
Northstar	2, 4	primisulfuron, dicamba
Optill	2, 14	imazethapyr, saflufenacil
Panoflex	2, 2	tribenuron, thifensulfuron
Panther Pro	2, 5, 14	imazethapyr, metribuzin, flumioxazin
Perpetuo	14, 15	flumiclorac, pyroxasulfone
Permit Plus	2, 2	halosulfuron, thifensulfuron
Prefix	14, 15	fomesafen, S-metolachlor
Presidual	5, 15	metribuzin, S-metolachlor
Prequel	2, 27	rimsulfuron, isoxaflutole
Priority	2, 14	halosulfuron, carfentrazone
Pummel	2, 15	imazethapyr, metolachlor
Pursuit Plus	2, 3	imazethapyr, pendimethalin
Realm Q	2, 27	rimsulfuron, mesotrione
Require Q	2, 4	rimsulfuron, dicamba
Resicore	4, 15, 27	clopyralid, acetochlor, mesotrione
Resolve Q	2, 2	rimsulfuron, thifensulfuron
Revulin Q	2, 27	nicosulfuron, mesotrione
Rowel FX	2, 14	chlorimuron ethyl, flumioxazin
Scorch	4, 4, 4	2,4-D, dicamba, fluroxypyr
Sinate	10, 27	glufosinate, topramezone
Sequence	9, 15	glyphosate, S-metolachlor
Sinate	10, 27	glufosinate, topramezone
Solstice	14, 27	fluthiacet, mesotrione
Sonic	2, 14	cloransulam, sulfentrazone
Spirit	2, 2	primisulfuron, prosulfuron

Table 3. Active ingredients and group numbers of herbicide premixes. (continued)

Trade name	Herbicide Group No.	Active ingredient
Spitfire	4, 4	2,4-D, dicamba
Statement	15, 14	metolachlor, fomesafen
Status	4, 19	dicamba, diflufenopyr
Steadfast Q	2, 2	nicosulfuron, rimsulfuron
Surpass NXT	5, 15	atrazine, acetochlor
Surestart	2, 4, 15	flumetsulam, clopyralid, acetochlor
Surveil	2, 14	cloransulam, flumioxazin
Synchrony	2, 2	chlorimuron, thifensulfuron
Tailwind	5, 15	metribuzin, metolachlor
Tavium plus VGT	4, 15	dicamba, s-metolachlor
Torment	2, 14	imazethapyr, fomesafen
TripleFLEX II	2, 4, 15	flumetsulam, clopyralid, acetochlor
Trisidual	2, 4, 15	flumetsulam, clopyralid, acetochlor
Trivence	2, 5, 14	chlorimuron, metribuzin, flumioxazin
Valor XLT	2, 14	chlorimuron, flumioxazin
Varisto	2, 6	imazamox, bentazon
Verdict	14, 15	saflufenacil, dimethenamid
Warrant Ultra	14, 15	fomesafen, acetochlor
Weedmaster	4, 4	2,4-D, dicamba
Yukon	2, 4	halosulfuron, dicamba
Zemax	15, 27	S-metolachlor, mesotrione
Zidua Pro	2, 14, 15	imazethapyr, saflufenacil, pyroxasulfone
Zone Defense	14, 14	sulfentrazone, flumioxazin
Zone Assist	2, 14	imazethapyr, sulfentrazone
Zone Elite	14, 15	sulfentrazone, S-metolachlor
Zone Maxx	2, 14	chlorimuron, sulfentrazone

ACCase inhibitor HG 1

aryloxyphenoxy-propanoate	
Assure II, others	quizalofop-p-ethyl
Fusilade DX	fluazifop-p-butyl
Fusion	fluazifop-p-butyl + fenoxaprop
Hoelon	diclofop
cyclohexanediones	
Poast, Poast Plus	sethoxydim
Select, Section, Arrow, others	clethodim

ALS inhibitors HG 2

imidazolinones	
Pursuit	imazethapyr
Raptor	imazamox
Scepter	imazaquin
sulfonanilides	
FirstRate, Amplify	chloransulam
Python	flumetsulam
sulfonyleureas	
Accent	nicosulfuron
Ally, Cimarron	metsulfuron
Beacon	primisulfuron
Classic	chlorimuron
Express	tribenuron
Harmony GT	thifensulfuron
Permit, Halofax	halosulfuron

ALS inhibitors HG 2

dinitroanilines	
Balan	benefin
Prowl H20, Pendimax, Framework, Satellite, others	pendimethalin
Sonalan	ethalfluralin
Surflan	oryzalin
Treflan, Trust, others	trifluralin

Synthetic auxin HG 4

benzoic	
Banvel, Clarity, DiFlexx, Sterling Blue, others	dicamba
phenoxy	
many	MPCA
many	2,4-D
Butyrac, Butoxone	2,4-DB
pyridines	
Remedy Ultra, Pathfinder II, many others	triclopyr
Milestone	aminopyralid
Stinger, Transline	clopyralid
Streamline	aminocyclopyrachlor
Tordon	picloram

Photosystem II inhibitors HG 5, 6, 7

benzothiadiazole	
Basagran, Broadlawn	bentazon
nitriles	
Buctril, others	bromoxynil
triazines	
AAtrex, atrazine, others	atrazine
Evik	ametryn
Metribuzin, Tricor	metribuzin
Princep	simazine
ureas	
Karmex	diuron
Llnex, Lorox	linuron

Glutamine synthetase inhibitors HG 10

Liberty, Cheetah	glufosinate
------------------	-------------

Diterpene inhibitors HG 13

Command	clomazone
---------	-----------

ALS inhibitors HG 2

aryl triazolines	
Aim	carfentrazone
Authority, Spartan	sulfentrazone
diphenyl ethers	
Blazer, UltraBlazer	acifluorfen
Cobra, Phoenix	lactofen
ET, Vida	pyraflufen
Flexstar, Reflex	fomesafen
Goal	oxyfluorfen
phenylphthalimides	
Resource	flumiclorac
Valor, Rowel	flumioxazin
pyrimidinedione	
Sharpen (Kixor)	saflufenacil
other	
Cadet	fluthiacet

Photosystem II inhibitors HG 5, 6, 7

Balance Flexx	isoxaflutole
Callisto	mesotrione
Armezon/Impact	topramezone
Laudis	tembotrione
bicyclopyrone	bicyclopyrone

Lipid synthesis inhibitors HG 15

Harness, Surpass, Warrant	acetochlor
Dual II MAGNUM, Cinch, Medal, Charger Max, others	S-metolachlor, metolachlor
Frontier, Outlook, Commit, others	dimethenamid-P
Zidua	pyroxasulfone

Photosystem I inhibitors HG 22

Diquat, Reward	diquat
Gramoxone Max	paraquat

Enolpyruvyl shikimate phosphate synthase (EPSPS) inhibitors HG 9

Roundup, Touchdown, others	glyphosate
----------------------------	------------

Auxin transport inhibitors HG 19

Distinct, Status	diflufenzopyr
------------------	---------------

This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext.