

Institute of Ag Professionals

Proceedings of the

2011 Crop Pest Management Shortcourse &

Minnesota Crop Production Retailers Association Trade Show

www.extension.umn.edu/AgProfessionals

Do not reproduce or redistribute without the written consent of author(s).

Phosphorus And Potassium Recommendations: How Do We Adapt To The Constant Changes In Agriculture?



Daniel Kaiser

U of M Twin Cities 612-624-3482 dekaiser@umn.edu



Changes

- Farms have become larger
- Yields have increased
- Fertilizer is no longer a "cheap" input
- More acres are rented
- Greater ability to fine tune
 recommendations within fields

Four Key Questions

- Can/should you build and maintain?
- What is a good target to build to?
- What is the probability that I will achieve a positive yield response in a given soil test class?
- What is the average yield increase for the class I am building to?

P and K Similar but Chemically Different

- Both exist in conceptual pools
 - Available
 - Moderately Available
 - Very slowly available
- K is held on exchange sites, P is not
- P forms complexes K does not
- Both have limited mobility in the soil

- P can be banked in the soil to some degree
- Retention, Release, and Fixation is a complex process
 - Acid soils retention more likely
 - Basic soils higher potential for fixation
- Soil tests measure an index of the available P pool – not the total
- At best the efficiency of P is about 30% in a single year
 - Some fertilizer supplies the plant and other goes to replenish soil supply

- K can be banked in the soil to some degree
- K can be fixed within clay layers
- Soil moisture is an important determining factor in what the soil test will be
- Leaching from residue can affect the soil test values
- The K soil test suffers from significant seasonal variability, more so than P

Seasonal STK Variation



P and **K** Management

- Can be challenging
- Soil testing is a key tool

 Plant tissue testing can be used but it is not calibrated test

- Soil tests are indexes
 - Associated to probability of response
 - Correlated to crop response
 - Further calibrated to be able to tell you how much fertilizer to apply

Risk

- Soil sampling associated risk
- How certain are you that the soil sample is representative of your area

180 X180







60 X 60



Renville A location soil P test

Lamb and Rehm – U of M

N 1



Renville A location All point vs Mid point 9 - 60 X 60 ft. cells = 1 - 180 X 180 ft. cell

Lamb and Rehm – U of M

Chance of equaling average soil test with the mid pt. or one random sample

	55 X 55 m		75 X 75 m	
	Mid pt.	Random	Mid pt.	Random
	%			
RA	33	38	33	24
RM	29	40	50	33
S	79	80	25	68

Lamb and Rehm – U of M

How Accurate is Your Soil Sample



Soil Sampling Effects on Soil Test P

- Size of grid
 - The smaller the grid the better evaluation.
 - Size of grid or sampling area is limited by economics.
- Location of sample within the grid.
 - A random sampling point in an area will be a better indicator of the average value for the area compared to using the mid pt.

Corn Relative Yield Data



Minmeenta's Agricultural Partiliner Research & Education Council

Critical STP Levels - Corn

	Relative Yield			
	95%	98%	100%	
	ppm			
Bray-P1	10	15	18	
Olsen-P	9	12	16	
Mehlich-3	15	24	29	

Soybean Relative Yield Data



Critical STP Levels - Soybeans

	Relative Yield			
	95%	98%	100%	
	ppm			
Bray-P1	13	20	21	
Olsen-P	10	13	16	
Mehlich-3	Non est	Non est	Non est	

Critical Soil Test Levels

- Targeting the right spot can be key for management
- Remember the uncertainty about soil testing
 - Fewer samples or cores = more uncertainty
- Critical soil test only gives you 1/3 of the picture
 - What about the probability of response

Corn Yield Response Data

	Probability of Response	Level of Response (Yield Increase)
	%	%
Very Low		14.8
Low	80	10.8
Medium	60	4.2
High	25	2.5
Very High	7	0.6



Risk!!!

- Are there inherent differences in achievable yields between the soil P classes
- What can you afford to do?
 - High cash rents
 - High fertilizer costs
 - Opportunity costs associated with other inputs

Proposed Kansas Model



Soil Nutrient Level or Fertilization Rate

Land Tenure

- Owned land
 - Secure land tenure
 - Any banked P and K can be used for future crops
 - Long term investment
- Rented land
 - Less secure tenure
 - Any banked P and K may be available for other producers to use
 - Short term investment

Maintaining a Medium

- Higher chance of a return for your investment
- Higher return per lb P applied
- Lower fertilizer cost
- Frequent soil testing is a must!

 Current U of M recs if followed diligently should maintain a medium

Maintaining a High

- Low probability that yield will be limited by the nutrient
- Higher investment in fertilizer
- Lower return per lb P
- Maximize total production
- Soil testing can be less frequent

Optimum Classes

- Is there one optimum class for all growers?
- Grower defined optimums
 - Attitude towards risk
 - How were soils sampled
 - Land tenure
- Let University data help make the decisions



Return to P – All Years Corn years 1 and 3, SB year 2

		Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Total
Low	U of M	\$125	\$162	\$204	\$491
	BPM	\$105	\$152	\$185	\$443
Med	U of M	\$63	-\$23	\$142	\$181
	BPM	\$38	-\$28	\$132	\$143
High	U of M	-\$3	-\$31	\$79	\$45
	BPM	-\$40	-\$20	\$99	\$39

**Assuming no yield increase from U of M recs for Year 1 and Year 2

Future P Suggestions

- There is flexibility
- Economics are not always straight forward
- Many interactions to deal with
- Many philosophies that do not always agree
- Where is the Universities Role
 - We do not regulate
 - We are here to provide the needed information for producers to make their own decision

Future P Suggestions

- Rented Ground
- Set your "optimum" level to maximize return
 - Maintaining in the medium class
 - 10-15 ppm Bray
 - Higher probability that you will get a modest return on investment
- Focus on opportunity costs
 What will give the best return
- Realize that more vigilance is needed

Future P Suggestions

- Owned Ground
- Focus on long term productivity
- Set "optimum" level to where risk is minimized
 - Low chance of a yield loss
 - Any P over applied can be utilized by further crops
 - 15-25 ppm Bray-P1
 - Maintain around the critical level
 - Realize that the maintenance range will have be adjusted based on sampling procedures

Rates

- Build rates around current suggestions
 - U of M recs for Low and Very Low soil tests will build
- Rapid building can be expensive
 Not all soils will build the same
 Not all soils will build
- Focus on long term yield averages instead of trying to fertilize for last year's yield
- Recognize that suggestions are suggestions



Morris - Spring 2011



Saint Charles - Fall 2010



	Lamberton	Morris	Saint Charles	
	Ib P ₂ O ₅ /ppm			
Bray P-1	13	20	41	
Olsen P	21	43	69	





IN Soybean Research & Promotion Council

Target Your Inputs

- Know when enough is enough
 - P and K can leach if soils test reach certain levels
 - Generally not an issue with most agronomic ranges
- If manure is available faster building may be possible
 - Manure is a resource but also a waste product

Manure P, Soil P, and Tile Drainage



Research funded by the Iowa Water Center and the Leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture

Mallarino, Haq, Klatt, Baker, Kanwar, Pedersen, & Pecinovsky. ISU

Thank You Questions?



Daniel Kaiser University of Minnesota 612-624-3482

dekaiser@umn.edu

http://www.extension.umn.edu/nutrientmanagement/index.html

